Tim Kaldewey

Apr 21 2014



Programming GPUs for non-graphics workloads – from General Purpose GPU (GPGPU) to GPU compute

GPGPU



Parallel Programming and Computing Platform

Tim Kaldewey

Research Staff Member IBM TJ Watson Research Center *tkaldew@us.ibm.com* DVIDIA

NVIDIA



Disclaimer

The author's views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily reflect the views of IBM.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank all my co-authors from IBM and my prior positions at Oracle and UCSC whose work I am showing in this presentation.

I would also like to thank Patrick Cozzi for inviting me to teach in his classes multiple years in a row and for letting me re-use his introductory material.



Agenda

Programming GPUs for non-graphics workloads

- GPGPU
 - A brief introduction
 - Index Search implemented using openGL and Cg

Modern GPU computing with CUDA

- A very brief intro to CUDA
- Index Search in CUDA
- Performance optimizations
- A new GPU-optimal (index) search algorithm



GPU Programming pre-CUDA

The graphics pipeline



- Vertex Processor geometric transformations of vertices in 3D space
- Rasterizer transforms geometric primitives (triangles) into pixels
- Fragment Processor colors the pixels
- Programmable were only vertex and fragment processors



GPGPU Programming

- GPGPU(.org)started in 2002 by Mark Harris
- Using Graphics APIs to solve non-graphics tasks
 - E.g. OpenGL & Cg
- Required use of graphics APIs
 - OpenGL for data transfers
 - Cg to "program"
 - Operations:
 - geometric transformations using the vertex processor (scatter)
 - coloring using the fragment processor (gather)
 - Vertices are stored as float4 (x,y,z,w)
 - Textures = 2D arrays of float4 vectors (r,g,b,a)
 - Compute = drawing



GPGPU Programming

Steps for GPGU compute:

- 1. Organize data in a screen size array
- 2. Set up a viewport with 1:1 pixel:texel ratio
- 3. Create and bind texture of the same size
- 4. Download input data into texture
- 5. Bind (load) fragment program (computational kernel)
- 6. Render a screen size quad to perform computation, i.e. run fragment program on each Pixel
- 7. Read back results



Let's Pick a Simple, but Omnipresent Task ... Search

- Why Search?
- Honestly, how many times a day do you visit:





Let's Pick a Simple, but Omnipresent Task ... Search

- Why Search?
- Honestly, how many times a day do you visit:



- How do you search (millions of) documents efficiently?
- Use an inverted index

	Keyword	DocID
sorted	Adam	1,2,3
	Bethlehem	4,5
	Character	1,2,3,301,5790
	Drachenflieger	301,317,5790
	Eva	1,2
	Flughafenbahnhof	5790
	Grabdenkmal	2,5790
¥	Haubentaucher	300 , 5790



Searching an Index

sorted

• The task: search an inverted (document) index

DocID
1,2,3
4,5
1,2,3,301,5790
301,317,5795
1,2
5790
2,5190
300,5790

Can be stored separately. Lookup by position.

16 characters max.



Searching an Index

• The task: search an inverted (document) index

	Keyword	DocID
sorted	Adam	1,2,3
	Bethlehem	4,5
	Character	1,2,3,301,5790
	Drachenflieger	301,317,5795
	Eva	1,2
	Flughafenbahnhof	5790
	Grabdenkmal	2,5190
Ļ	Haubentaucher	300,5790

16 characters max.

On the CPU we use a few library calls and we are done

GPGPU Search – Data Format

- Storing data
 - Obviously you want 1:1 pixel-to-texture element (texel) ratio unless you would like to play Scrabble ;-)



- Ascii mapped to
 0.0 to 255.0
- 1 pixel stores 4 chars (better?)
- Mark beginning of words with 0.1
- Need to store pointer/position in document index ptr
- Align word boundaries with pixel boundaries r
- Null-terminated strings 0.0





GPGPU Search – Data Storage

• Store data in texture

```
glTexSubImage2D(GL_TEXTURE_RECTANGLE_ARB,
0,0,0, // detail level, x-, y- offset
1200, 1200, // size
GL_RGBA, // texture format
GL_FLOAT, // data format
data); // data pointer
```



GPGPU Search in Action

• Comparing search key with stored strings



- Simple test for equality
 - Compare floats directly
 - Color by color



GPGPU Search Code

```
float4 search(float2 coords: WPOS,
```

```
uniform samplerRECT texCgFrag) : COLOR {
float2 data_coords = coords;
float2 searchkey_coords = float2(0.5,0.5);
float4 data = texRECT(texCgFrag, data_coords );
float4 searchkey = texRECT(texCgFrag, searchkey_coords);
```

```
float done =0.0;
```

```
if (data.r == 0.1) {
```

```
if (done == 0.0) {
```

```
if (data.b != searchkey.b) done = -1.0;
```

```
if (data.b == searchkey.b)
```

```
if (data.b = = 0.0) done = 1.0;
```

```
f (done == 0.0)
```

```
if (data.a != searchkey.a) done = -1.0;
```

```
•••
```



GPGPU Search – Code Execution

- To execute the code: drawQuad(1200,1200);
- Result uses a magic number (not used for ASCII mapping) 0.9
- After completion Result is anywhere in the texture
- Copying whole texture back to main memory inefficient
- Reduction



GPGPU Search – Reduction

- To execute the code: drawQuad(1200,1200);
- Result uses a magic number (not used for ASCII mapping) 0.9
- After completion Result is anywhere in the texture
- Copying whole texture back to main memory inefficient
- Reduction:





GPGPU Search – Reduction

 Reduction means gathering "neighborhood" data float4 reduce (float2 coords: WPOS, uniform samplerRECT texCgFrag2) : COLOR { float2 topleft = ((coords-0.5)*2.0)+0.5;float4 val1 = texRECT(texCgFrag2, topleft); float4 val2 = texRECT(texCgFrag2, topleft+float2(1,0)); float4 val3 = texRECT(texCgFrag2, topleft+float2(1,1)); float4 val4 = texRECT(texCgFrag2, topleft+float2(0,1)); float4 result = (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0);if (val4.r = 0.9) result = val4; if (val3.r == 0.9) result = val3; if (val2.r = 0.9) result = val2; if (val1.r = 0.9) result = val1; return result;



GPGPU Search – Reduction

...

- Repeat until we end up with a single pixel
- Search result will be in top left pixel numPasses = (int)(log((double)width)/log(2.0)); for (i=0; i<numPasses; i++) {

```
...
outputWidth = outputWidth / 2;
drawQuad(outputWidth,outputWidth);
```





GPGPU Search - Performance

- 10k Berkeley DB index operations (insert delete), all require searching the index first, Test001.tcl
- Berkley DB uses B-trees, which needed to be flattened for the GPU



Time required for 10k insert/delete operations using a dual-core 2.2ghz AMD Opteron vs. an nVidia 7900GS with 7 vertex and 20 fragment processors.



GPGPU Search - Performance

- 10k Berkeley DB index operations (insert delete), all require searching the index first, Test001.tcl
- Berkley DB uses B-trees, which needed to be flattened for the GPU



Time required for 10k insert/delete operations using a dual-core 2.2ghz AMD Opteron vs. an nVidia 7900GS with 7 vertex and 20 fragment processors.



GPGPU Search – Where does time go?



Time required for 10k insert/delete operations using a dual-core 2.2ghz AMD Opteron vs. an nVidia 7900GS with 7 vertex and 20 fragment processors.

- Data Transfer ~40%
 - More efficient data mapping, e.g. 4 char = 1 float problematic?
- CUDA made GPGPU obsolete ...



Agenda

Programming GPUs for non-graphics workloads

- GPGPU
 - A brief introduction
 - Index Search implemented using openGL and Cg
- Modern GPU computing with CUDA
 - A very brief intro to CUDA
 - Index Search in CUDA
 - Performance optimizations
 - A new GPU-optimal (index) search algorithm



CUDA Key Concepts – Architecture





CUDA Key Concepts – Function Classifiers

- __global_
 - callable from host
 - must return void
- __device_
 - callable only from device
 - function inlined by default (newer CUDA versions)
- Global and device functions
 - No recursion (except Fermi)
 - No static variables
 - No malloc()
 - Careful with function calls through pointers (Fermi)
 - Cannot access host memory "directly"



CUDA Key Concepts – Memory address spaces

- Host (CPU) and Device (GPU) have separate (memory) address spaces
 - Data needs to be "transferred" to/from the GPU
 - Simplest way is to explicitly copy data to/from device memory
 - Data copy always initiated by host

- Specify direction of data copy
- ToDevice for input data
- ToHost for results
- When calling <code>___global__</code> function pass dst pointer



CUDA Key concepts – Vector types

- char[1-4], uchar[1-4], short[1-4], ushort[1-4], int[1-4], uint[1-4], long[1-4], ulong[1-4], longlong[1-2], ulonglong[1-2]
- float [1-4], double [1-2]
- dim3
- Available in host and device code

```
• Construct with make_<type name>
int2 i2 = make_int2(1, 2);
float4 f4 = make_float4(
    1.0f, 2.0f, 3.0f, 4.0f);
• Access with .x, .y, .z, and .w
    int2 i2 = make_int2(1, 2);
    int x = i2.x;
    int y = i2.y
• No.r, .g, .b, .a, etc. like OpenGL, Cg
```

CUDA Key Concepts – Invoking GPU Functions (Kernels)

```
__global___ void gpu_Kernel(int a, ...){
...
}
dim3 grid(14,0,0);
Dim3 block(192,0,0);
gpu_Kernel<<<grid,block>>>(42,...);
```

- Calling GPU (___global___) function requires to specify
 - grid dimensions How many blocks of threads to launch
 - 1 block executes on 1 streaming multiprocessor to completion
 - block dimensions How many threads are in a block
 - threads execute in groups of 32 (warps) in SIM[T/D] fashion
 - #threads > warp can be synchronized with ______ syncthreads ()



CUDA Key Concepts – "Global" Variables

__device__ int a_dev; ... __shared__ int a_smem;

- device variables
 - stored in device memory
 - accessible from all blocks
- ____shared____variables
 - stored in shared on-chip memory (space constraints?)
 - accessible only within a block



Index search on the CPU

Keyword	<pre>char indexCPU[4711];</pre>
Adam	indexCPU[0]
Bethlehem	indexCPU[16]
Character	indexCPU[32]
Drachenflieger	•••
Eva	
Flughafenbahnhof	
Grabdenkmal	
Haubentaucher	

16 characters max.

sorted

• On the CPU we use a few library calls and we are done



A Simple implementation of (index) search



16 characters max.

• On the CPU we use a few library calls and we are done

• Can we just port a CPU implementation?



Index search on the CPU

• Get the data to the GPU



A Simple GPU implementation

• Get the data to the GPU

Know your hardware (GTX 285, 30 SMs, 8 cores each, 240 cores)
Set up an execution configuration & call global function

```
dim3 Dg = dim3(30,0,0);
dim3 Db = dim3(8,0,0);
searchGPU< < < Dg,Db > > >(indexGPU, entries...
```



A Simple GPU implementation

• The GPU kernel



A Simple GPU implementation

• The GPU kernel

- There is no libc on the GPU =(
- Just stick <u>device</u> in front of the libc code?
- "bsearch" is recursive, but there is no recursion on the GPU
 Write a iterative one ...



A Simple GPU binary search

```
____device___ char* bsearchGPU(char *key, char *base, int n, int size){
    char *mid_point;
    int cmp;

    while (n > 0) {
        mid_point = (char *)base + size * (n >> 1);
        if ((cmp = strcmpGPU(key, mid_point)) == 0)
            return (char *)mid_point;
        if (cmp > 0) {
            base = (char *)mid_point + size;
            n = (n - 1) >> 1;
        } // cmp < 0
        else n >>= 1;
    }
    return (char *)NULL;
}
```

• Still need strcmp



A Simple GPU binary search

```
_____device___ char* bsearchGPU(char *key, char *base, int n, int size){
      char *mid_point;
      int cmp;
      while (n > 0) {
            mid_point = (char *)base + size * (n >> 1);
            if ((cmp = strcmpGPU(key, mid_point)) == 0)
                 return (char *)mid_point;
            if (cmp > 0) {
                base = (char *)mid_point + size;
                n = (n - 1) >> 1;
            } // cmp < 0
            else n >>= 1;
        }
        return (char *)NULL;
    }
}
```

- Still need strcmp
- Again, stick __device__ in front of the libc code

```
_____device____int strcmpGPU(char* s1, char* s2){
    while (*s1 == *s2++)
        if (*s1++ == 0) return 0;
        return (*s1 - *(s2 - 1));
}
```


Binary Search on the GPU

 Searching a large data set (512MB) with 33 million (225) 16-character strings





Binary Search on the GPU – Why is it slow?

 Searching a large data set (512MB) with 33 million (225) 16-character strings



It's slower than a CPU implementation for all data set sizes!
 Let's try some optimizations ...



Search requires to compare

- Search naturally requires MANY comparisons
- The strcmp() library function:

```
int strcmp(const char* s1, const char* s2){
    while (*s1 == *s2++)
        if (*s1++ == 0)return 0;
        return (*s1 - *(s2 - 1));
}
```





Search requires to compare

- Search naturally requires MANY comparisons
- The strcmp() library function:

```
int strcmp(const char* s1, const char* s2){
    while (*s1 == *s2++)
        if (*s1++ == 0)return 0;
        return (*s1 - *(s2 - 1));
}
```



• Byte-wise memory access is known to be slow



- How about vector string comparison, a la SSE?
- No Byte vectors on the GPU ... but Integer vectors





- How about vector string comparison, a la SSE?
- No Byte vectors on the GPU ... but Integer vectors





- How about vector string comparison, a la SSE?
- No Byte vectors on the GPU ... but Integer vectors



- Loading character strings as int changes endianness
- CPU has bswap, on the GPU we have to write it:

```
#define BSWP( x ) ; \
temp = ( x ) << 24 ; \
temp = temp | ( ( ( x ) << 8) & 0x00FF0000 ) ; \
temp = temp | ( ( ( unsigned ) ( x ) >> 8) & 0x000FF00 ) ; \
x = temp | ( ( unsigned ) ( x ) >> 24 ) ;
```



Comparing integer vectors (bswap for <> skipped for clarity)

```
device int intcmp(uint4* a, uint4* b) {
  int r = 1;
  if ((*a).x < (*b).x)
      r = -1;
  else if ((*a).x == (*b).x) {
        if ((*a).y < (*b).y)
           r = -1;
        else if ((*a).y == (*b).y) {
             if ((*a).z < (*b).z)
                r = -1;
             else if ((*a).z == (*b).z) {
                   if ((*a).w < (*b).w)
                      r = -1;
                   else if ((*a).w == (*b).w)
                      r=0;
            }
        }
  return r;
}
```

• Still dereferencing 16 memory pointers ...



Binary Search on the GPU – Why is it slow?

 Searching a large data set (512MB) with 33 million (225) 16-character strings



- With intcmp it's only marginally faster than a CPU implementation
- We still do pointer chasing, i.e. roundtrips to memory ...



Reducing global memory access

• Intcmp is memory latency sensitive

	L1	$\mathbf{L2}$	L3	mem
Processor	[cyc]	[cyc]	[cyc]	[cyc]
Intel Core i7 2.6GHz	4	10	40	350
n Vidia GT200 b $1.5~\mathrm{GHz}$	4	n/a	n/a	500

• We can use shared memory like L1

x 16 for each comparison !!!



x 16 for each

comparison !!!

Reducing global memory access

• Intcmp is memory latency sensitive

	L1	$\mathbf{L2}$	L3	mem
Processor	[cyc]	[cyc]	[cyc]	[cyc]
Intel Core i7 2.6GHz	4	10	40	350
n Vidia GT200 b $1.5~\mathrm{GHz}$	4	n/a	n/a	500

• We can use shared memory like L1



Binary Search on the GPU – optimized

 Searching a large data set (512MB) with 33 million (225) 16-character strings



Is binary search optimal for a SIM[D/T] architecture ?



GPU architecture reminder – SIMD/SIMT

- Inside Streaming Mulitprocessor
 - Single Instruction Multiple Threads/Data (SIMT/SIMD)
 - All PEs in 1SM execute same instruction or no-op (SIMD threads)
 - Warps of 32 threads (or more to hide memory latency)







What happens during Multi-threaded Binary Search?

- Index: a sorted char array 32 entries
- 4 queries: t, 8, f, r
- 4 processor cores: P1-P4
- 1 processor core 1 search: P0:t, P1:8, P2:f, P3:r
- Theoretical worst-case execution time: $\log_2(32)=5$

4 5 6 7 8 9 a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z



What happens during Multi-threaded Binary Search?

- Index: a sorted char array 32 entries
- 4 queries: t, 8, f, r
- **4** processor cores: P1-P4
- 1 processor core 1 search: P0:t, P1:8, P2:f, P3:r
- Theoretical worst-case execution time: log₂(32)=5

Iter. 1) 4 5 6 7 8 9 a b c d e f g h i j k I m n o p q r s t u v w x y z P0:t, P1:8, P2:f, P3:r





What happens during Multi-threaded Binary Search?





Multi-threaded Binary Search - Analysis

- 100% utilization requires #cores concurrent queries
- Queries finishing early
 - ➔ utilization < 100%</p>
- Memory access collisions
 - ➔ serialized memory access
- #memory accesses log₂(n)
- More threads
 - ➔ more results
 - ➔ response time likely to be worst case: log₂(n)

Can we improve the worst case?





Binary Search

• How Do you (efficiently) search an index?



- Open phone book ~middle
 - 1st name = whom you are looking for?
 - < , > ?
 - Iterate
 - Each iteration:
 #entries/2 (n/2)
 - Total time:
 - → $log_2(n)$



Parallel (Binary) Search

• What if you have some friends (3) to help you ?





• Divide et impera !

- Give each of them 1/4 *
- Each is using binary search takes $log_2(n/4)$
- All can work in parallel \rightarrow faster: $\log_2(n/4) < \log_2(n)$

* You probably want to tear it a little more intelligent than that, e.g. at the binding ;-)



Parallel (Binary) Search

• What if you have some friends (3) to help you ?





• Divide et impera !

- Give each of them 1/4 *
- Each is using binary search takes $\log_2(n/4)$
- All can work in parallel \rightarrow faster: $\log_2(n/4) < \log_2(n)$
- 3 of you are wasting time !

* You probably want to tear it a little more intelligent than that, e.g. at the binding ;-)



P-ary Search

• Divide et impera !!



• How do we know who has the right piece ?



P-ary Search

• Divide et impera !!







. . .

• How do we know who has the right piece ?



- It's a sorted list:
 - Look at first and last entry of a subset
 - If first entry < searched name < last entry</p>
 - Redistribute
 - Otherwise ... throw it away
 - Iterate

IBM

P-ary Search

• What do we get?



- Each iteration: n/4
 → log₄(n)
- Assuming redistribution time is negligible:
- $\log_4(n) < \log_2(n/4) < \log_2(n)$
- But each does 2 lookups !
- How time consuming are lookup and redistribution ?

IBM

P-ary Search

• What do we get?



- Each iteration: n/4
 → log₄(n)
- Assuming redistribution time is negligible: log₄(n) < log₂(n/4) < log₂(n)
- +
- But each does 2 lookups !
- How time consuming are lookup and redistribution ?

П	II
memory	synchronization
access	



P-ary Search

• What do we get?



- Each iteration: n/4
 → log₄(n)
- Assuming redistribution time is negligible: log₄(n) < log₂(n/4) < log₂(n)
- But each does 2 lookups !
- How time consuming are lookup and redistribution ?

II II memory synchronization access

- Searching a database index can be implemented the same way
 - Friends = Processor cores (threads)
 - Without destroying anything ;-)



- Strongly relies on fast synchronization
 - friends = threads / vector elements





- Strongly relies on fast synchronization
 - friends = threads / vector elements





- Strongly relies on fast synchronization
 - friends = threads / vector elements



- Synchronization ~ repartition cost
- pthreads (\$\$), cmpxchng(\$)
- SIMD SSE-vector, GPU threads via shared memory (~0)
- Implementation using a B-tree is similar and (obviously) faster



• B-trees group pivot elements into nodes



- Access to pivot elements is coalesced instead of a gather
- Nodes can also be mapped to
 - Cache Lines (CSB+ trees)
 - Vectors (SSE)
 - #Threads per block



P-ary Search on a sorted integer list – Implementation (1)

```
shared int offset;
 shared int cache[BLOCKSIZE+2]
 global void parySearchGPU(int* data, int length,
                              int* list of search keys, int* results)
  int start, sk;
  int old length = length;
// initialize search range starting with the whole data set
  if (threadIdx.x == 0) {
     offset = 0;
     // cache search key and upper bound in shared memory
     cache[BLOCKSIZE] = 0x7FFFFFF;
     cache[BLOCKSIZE+1] = list of search keys[blockIdx.x];
     results[blockIdx.x] = -1;
    syncthreads();
   11
   sk = cache[BLOCKSIZE+1];
```



P-ary Search on a sorted integer list – Implementation (1)

```
shared int offset;
 shared int cache [BLOCKSIZE+2]
 global void parySearchGPU(int* data, int length,
                              int* list of search keys, int* results)
  int start, sk;
  int old length = length;
// initialize search range starting with the whole data set
  if (threadIdx.x == 0) {
     offset = 0;
     // cache search key and upper bound in shared memory
     cache[BLOCKSIZE] = 0x7FFFFFF;
     cache[BLOCKSIZE+1] = list of search keys[blockIdx.x];
     results[blockIdx.x] = -1;
     syncthreads();
                                            Whv?
   sk = cache[BLOCKSIZE+1];
```



P-ary Search on a sorted list – Implementation (2)

```
// repeat until the #keys in the search range < #threads</pre>
while (length > BLOCKSIZE) {
    // calculate search range for this thread
    length = length/BLOCKSIZE;
    if (length * BLOCKSIZE < old length) length += 1;</pre>
    old length = length;
    // why don't we just use floating point?
    start = offset + threadIdx.x * length;
    // cache the boundary keys
    cache[threadIdx.x] = data[start];
    syncthreads();
    // if the searched key is within this thread's subset,
    // make it the one for the next iteration
    if (sk >= cache[threadIdx.x] && sk < cache[threadIdx.x+1]){</pre>
        offset = start;
      syncthreads();
    // all threads start next iteration with the new subset
```



P-ary Search on a sorted list – Implementation (2)

```
// repeat until the #keys in the search range < #threads</pre>
      while (length > BLOCKSIZE) {
           // calculate search range for this thread
           length = length/BLOCKSIZE;
           if (length * BLOCKSIZE < old length) length += 1;</pre>
           old length = length;
           // why don't we just use floating point?
           start = offset + threadIdx.x * length;
           // cache the boundary keys
           cache[threadIdx.x] = data[start];
             syncthreads();
           // if the searched key is within this thread's subset,
           // make it the one for the next iteration
Why?
           if (sk >= cache[threadIdx.x] && sk < cache[threadIdx.x+1]){</pre>
               offset = start;
             syncthreads();
           // all threads start next iteration with the new subset
```



P-ary Search on a sorted list – Implementation (3)

```
// last iteration
start = offset + threadIdx.x;
if (sk == data[start])
    results[blockIdx.x] = start;
```

}



P-ary Search – Analysis

- 100% processor utilization for each query
- Multiple threads can find a result
 - How does this impact correctness?





P-ary Search – Analysis

- 100% processor utilization for each query
- Multiple threads can find a result
 - How does this impact correctness?
- Convergence depends on #threads
- GTX285: 1 SM, 8 cores(threads) \rightarrow p=8
- Better Response time
 log_p(n) vs log₂(n)






P-ary Search – Analysis

- 100% processor utilization for each query
- Multiple threads can find a result
 Does not change correctness
- Convergence depends on #threads
 GTX285: 1 SM, 8 cores(threads) → p=8
- Better Response time
 log_p(n) vs log₂(n)
- More memory access

 (p*2 per iteration) * log_p(n)
 Caching
 (p-1) * log_p(n) vs. log₂(n)







P-ary Search – Analysis

- 100% processor utilization for each query
- Multiple threads can find a result
 Does not change correctness
- Convergence depends on #threads
 GTX285: 1 SM, 8 cores(threads) → p=8
- Better Response time
 log_p(n) vs log₂(n)
- Lower Throughput
 1/log_p(n) vs p/log₂(n)







P-ary Search (GPU) – Throughput

• Superior throughput compared to conventional algorithms



Searching a 512MB data set with 134mill. 4-byte integer entries, Results for a nVidia GT200b, 1.5GHz, GDDR3 1.2GHz.



P-ary Search (GPU) – Response Time

• Response time is workload independent for B-tree implementation



Searching a 512MB data set with 134mill. 4-byte integer entries, Results for a nVidia GT200b, 1.5GHz, GDDR3 1.2GHz.



P-ary Search (GPU) – Scalability

- GPU Implementation using SIMT (SIMD threads)
- Scalability with increasing #threads (P)



64K search queries against a 512MB data set with 134mill. 4-byte integer entries, Results for a nVidia GT200b, 1.5GHz, GDDR3 1.2GHz.



P-ary Search (GPU) – Scalability

- GPU Implementation using SIMT (SIMD threads)
- Scalability with increasing #threads (P)



64K search queries against a 512MB data set with 134mill. 4-byte integer entries, Results for a nVidia GT200b, 1.5GHz, GDDR3 1.2GHz.



Questions?